arXiv:1407.1448v1 [math.AP] 6 Jul 2014

Doubling property and vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunctions

Jiuyi Zhu

Abstract. The paper is concerned with the doubling estimates and vanishing order of the Steklov eigenfunction on the boundary of a smooth boundary domain $\mathbb{R}^n$. The eigenfunction is given by a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. We improve the doubling property shown by Lin and Bellova [**BL**]. Furthermore, we show that the vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunction is everywhere less than $C\lambda$ where λ is the Steklov eigenvalue and C depends only on Ω.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the doubling estimates and vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunction on the boundary of a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Steklov eigenvalue problem is given by

$$\begin{cases} \triangle u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda u & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ν is the exterior unit normal and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded smooth domain. One can also consider the Steklov eigenvalue problem on any smooth Riemannian manifold. It is known that the model (1.1) has a discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ with

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3, \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} \lambda_j = \infty.$$

The Steklov eigenfunctions were introduced by Steklov [**St**] in 1902. It interprets the vibration of a free membrane with uniformly distributed mass on the boundary. The model (1.1) also plays a important role in conductivity and harmonic analysis as it was initially studied by Calderón [**C**], since the set of eigenvalues for the Steklov problem is the same as the set of eigenvalues of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. The Steklov eigenfunctions and eigenvalues have appeared in quite a few physical fields, such as fluid mechanics, electromagnetism, elasticity, etc. For instance, It is found applications in the investigation of surface waves [**BS**], the stability analysis of mechanical oscillators immersed in a viscous fluid [**CPV**], and the study of the vibration modes of a structure in contact with an incompressible fluid [**BRS**].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A75, 35P30, 35J65.

Key words and phrases. Carleman estimates, Doubling estimates, Vanishing order, Steklov eigenfunction.

.

Vanishing order of solution is a quantitative behavior of the strong unique continuation property. The vanishing order of function u at x_0 is l if $D^\alpha u(x_0) = 0$ for all $|\alpha| \leq l$ in Taylor expansion. In order to obtain the order of vanishing for the Steklov eigenfunction, we usually need to show the doubling estimates for (1.1). Doubling estimates are also crucial tools in proving strong unique continuation and obtaining the measure of nodal set of eigenfunctions. It describes that the L^2 norm of solutions in a ball $\mathbb{B}_{2r}$ is controlled by the L^2 norm of solutions in a small ball $\mathbb{B}_r$. For the eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\triangle_g$ on the smooth Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}$, i.e.

$$-\triangle_g u + \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathcal{M}, \tag{1.2}$$

Donnelly and Fefferman [**DF**] showed that the vanishing order of u is bounded everywhere in $\mathcal{M}$ by $C\sqrt{\lambda}$, where C depends only on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and λ is large. Their proof relies on the following doubling estimates

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x)} u^2 \leq e^{C\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)} u^2 \tag{1.3}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. With the aid of (1.3), Donnelly and Fefferman [**DF**] obtained the bound for the nodal set of eigenfunctions on an analytic manifold, that is,

$$C\sqrt{\lambda} \leq H^{n-1}(\{x \in \mathcal{M}, u(x) = 0\}) \leq C\sqrt{\lambda}$$

with C depending only on $\mathcal{M}$.

The study of doubling estimates and nodal sets of Steklov eigenfunctions for large λ was first initiated by Lin and Bellova in [**BL**]. They were able to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \leq e^{C\lambda^5} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \tag{1.4}$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $r \leq r_0/\lambda$ with r_0, C depending only on Ω and n. Furthermore, they obtained the upper bound for the nodal set of Steklov eigenfunctions on the analytic domain. It was shown in [**BL**] that

$$H^{n-2}(\{x \in \partial\Omega, u(x) = 0\}) \leq C\lambda^6.$$

Later on, Zelditch [**Z**] was able to improve the upper bound and show that optimal upper bound for the nodal set is $C\lambda$ on analytic domains. Zelditch's proof does not rely on doubling estimates. It is left as an interesting problem to study the doubling property of Steklov eigenfunctions. Our main goal is to improve the doubling estimates in (1.4) on smooth domains.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth domain. There exist positive constants r_0, C depending only on Ω such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \tag{1.5}$$

holds with $r \leq r_0/\lambda$ and $x \in \partial\Omega$.

An immediate consequence of the integral form of doubling estimates is the doubling property in L^∞ norm. We were able to show the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *There exist positive constants r_0, C depending only on Ω and n such that for $r \leq r_0/\lambda$ and $x \in \partial\Omega$, there holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{2r}{\lambda}}(x)\cap\partial\Omega)} \leq e^{C\lambda}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}(x)\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{1.6}$$

Based on Carleman estimates and doubling property, we are able to obtain the vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunctions on $\partial\Omega$.

THEOREM 2. *The vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunction on $\partial\Omega$ is everywhere less than $C\lambda$ where C is positive constant depending only on Ω.*

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to obtaining the appropriate Carleman estimates for a general equation derived from the Steklov eigenvalue problem. In Section 3, a three-ball theorem is presented. Then the doubling estimates on solid ball follow. In section 4, we obtain the doubling estimates on the boundary and the vanishing order of Steklov eigenfunction. The letters C, $\bar{C}$, C_0 and C_1 denote generic positive constants and do not depends on u. They may also vary from line to line.

2. Carleman estimates

In order to obtain the doubling estimates of Steklov eigenfunctions on the boundary of Ω, it is not easy to consider the eigenfunctions solely on $\partial\Omega$. we first do a reflection across the boundary. The argument follows from [**BL**]. Set

$$\Omega_\rho := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n | dist\{y, \partial\Omega\} < \rho\}.$$

Define

$$\Omega'_\rho := \{x + t\nu(x) | x \in \partial\Omega,\ t \in (0,\ \rho)\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n | dist(y, \partial\Omega) < \rho\}\backslash\overline{\Omega},$$

where $\nu(x)$ is the unit outer normal at x. Since $\partial\Omega$ is compact and smooth, there exists a map $(x, t) \to x + t\nu(x)$ which is one-to-one from $\partial\Omega \times (-\delta, \delta)$ onto δ–neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$, where δ depends only on Ω. Set

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_\delta \cap \partial\Omega \cap \Omega'_\delta$$

and

$$\hat{u}(x) = u(x)\exp\{\lambda d(x)\}$$

where $d(x) = dist(x, \partial\Omega)$ is the distance function. Notice that $\hat{u}(x) = u(x)$ on $\partial\Omega$. From the equation (1.1), one can check that $\hat{u}$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} div(A(x)\nabla\hat{u}) + b(x)\cdot\nabla\hat{u} + q(x)\hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\delta, \\ \frac{\partial\hat{u}}{\partial\nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\delta, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} A(x) = I, \\ b(x) = -2\lambda\nabla d(x), \\ q(x) = \lambda^2 - \lambda\triangle d(x). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

We consider the reflection from Ω_δ to Ω'_δ. Let $x = y + t\nu(y)$ with $y \in \partial\Omega$ and $t \in (-\delta,\ 0)$. The reflection map is given by

$$\Psi(x) = y - t\nu(y).$$

For $x' \in \Omega'_\delta$, there exists x such that $\Psi(x) = x'$. Define

$$\hat{u}(x') = u(\Psi^{-1}(x')) = \hat{u}(x).$$

Then $\hat{u}$ satisfies

$$div(A(x)\nabla\hat{u}) + b(x)\cdot\nabla\hat{u} + q(x)\hat{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega'_\delta$$

with $A(x) = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$,

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}(x') = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial\Psi^i}{\partial x_k}(x)\frac{\partial\Psi^j}{\partial x_k}(x), \\ b^i(x') = -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j}a^{ij}(x') + \triangle\Psi^i(x) + b(x)\cdot\nabla\Psi^i(x), \\ q(x') = q(x). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\hat{u}$ satisfies the following equation

$$div(A\nabla\hat{u}) + b\cdot\nabla\hat{u} + q\hat{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \|A\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})} \le C, \\ \|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\tilde{\Omega})} \le C\lambda, \\ \|q\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\tilde{\Omega})} \le C\lambda^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where C depends only on Ω. One can also check that A is uniformly Lipshitz and the equation (2.3) is uniformly elliptic in $\tilde{\Omega}$ with ellipticity constant depending only on Ω. Instead of studying u in (1.1), we investigate $\hat{u}$ in (2.3), then we reduce $\hat{u}$ to the boundary of Ω by making use of the fact that $\hat{u}(x) = u(x)$ on $\partial\Omega$.

General speaking, Carleman estimates and frequency functions are two major ways to obtain doubling estimates and study strong unique continuation. The frequency function is a local measure of "degree" of a polynomial like function and first observed by Almgren for harmonic functions. Garofalo and Lin in [**GL**] showed it powerful application in the strong unique continuation problem. The doubling property (1.4) in [**BL**] is achieved by sophisticated use of frequency functions. Carleman estimates are weighted integral inequalities which were introduced by Carleman. See e.g. [**JK**], [**KT**], [**LT**], [**S**], to just mention a few literature on strong unique continuation using Carleman estimates. Our goal in this section is to obtain the carleman estimates for (2.3). Since $A(x)$ does not depend on λ, we can instead consider the general elliptic equation

$$a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}\hat{u} + b(x)\cdot\nabla\hat{u} + q(x)\hat{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \tag{2.5}$$

with the coefficients satisfying the same conditions as that in (2.4). The summation over i, j is understood. In the following, we adapt the idea in deriving Carleman estimates in [**LW**] and [**BC**] which extend Donnelly and Fefferman's approach [**DF**] for classical eigenfunctions.

To begin, we introduce polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}$ by setting $x = r\omega$, with $r = |x|$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n) \in S^{n-1}$. Moreover, we use a new coordinate $t = \log r$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = e^{-t}(\omega_j\partial_t + \Omega_j), \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$

where Ω_j is a vector field in S^{n-1}. We also see that the vector fields Ω_j satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j\Omega_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j\omega_j = n-1.$$

Since we are only interested the local estimates of $\hat{u}$ and $r \to 0$ if and only if $t \to -\infty$, we study the case that t sufficiently closes to $-\infty$. One can also see that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = e^{-2t}(\omega_i \partial_t - \omega_i + \Omega_i)(\omega_j \partial_t + \Omega_j), \quad 1 \le i \le j \le n.$$

Note that

$$e^{2t}\triangle = \partial_t^2 + (n-2)\partial_t + \triangle_\omega,$$

where $\triangle_\omega = \sum_j \Omega_j^2$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on S^{n-1}. We want to establish the Carleman estimates in the neighborhood of $x \in \partial\Omega$. From (2.2), we know

$$a_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + O(e^t), \quad \text{as } t \to -\infty.$$

Next we obtain the Carleman estimates with weight

$$\phi_\beta(x) = e^{-\beta\tilde{\phi}(x)}$$

with $\beta > 0$ and

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \log|x| + \log((\log|x|)^2).$$

Since we have introduced the new coordinate concerning t, by denoting

$$\phi(t) = t + \log t^2,$$

then $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(\log|x|)$. We consider the local estimates of any smooth supported function u at $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Let $r(x) = |x - x_0|$. Our Carleman estimate concerning the general elliptic equations (2.3) is given as follows.

PROPOSITION 1. *There exist C_1, C_0 and sufficiently small r_0 depending on Ω such that for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(x_0)\backslash\{x_0\})$, $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and*

$$\beta > C_1(1 + \|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|q\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^{1/2}),$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} C_0\|\phi_\beta(a_{ij}D_{ij}u + b\cdot\nabla u + qu)r^{\frac{4-n}{2}}\|^2 &\ge \beta^3\|\phi_\beta(\log r)^{-1}ur^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|^2 \\ &+ \beta\|\phi_\beta(\log r)^{-1}\nabla u r^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Based on the proof of proposition 1, if u has support away from x_0, we will have the following corollary which is useful in deriving the three-ball theorem and doubling estimates.

COROLLARY 2. *Besides the conditions in proposition 1, assume further that*

$$supp\ u \subset \{x_0 \in \partial\Omega,\ |x - x_0| \ge \tilde{\delta} > 0\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} C\|\phi_\beta(a_{ij}D_{ij}u + b\cdot\nabla u + qu)r^{\frac{4-n}{2}}\|^2 &\ge \beta^3\|\phi_\beta(\log r)^{-1}ur^{-\frac{n}{2}}\|^2 + \beta\tilde{\delta}\|\phi_\beta u r^{-\frac{1+n}{2}}\|^2 \\ &+ \beta\|\phi_\beta(\log r)^{-1}\nabla u r^{\frac{2-n}{2}}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Define the differential operators $P = a_{ij}D_{ij}$ and $Q = b(x)\cdot\nabla$. Let

$$u = e^{\beta\phi(t)}v.$$

Set

$$P_\beta v = e^{-\beta\phi(t)}P(e^{\beta\phi(t)}v),$$
$$Q_\beta v = e^{-\beta\phi(t)}Q(e^{\beta\phi(t)}v).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\beta v :=\ & e^{2t}P_\beta v + e^{2t}Q_\beta v + e^{2t}qv \\ =\ & \partial_t^2 v + av + \hat{a}\partial_t v + \triangle_\omega v + e^{2t}qv + S(v) + R(v), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a &= \beta\phi'' + \beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' \\ &= (1+2t^{-1})^2\beta^2 + (n-2)\beta + 2(n-2)t^{-1}\beta - 2t^{-2}\beta, \\ \hat{a} &= 2\beta\phi' + (n-2) \\ &= 2\beta + 4\beta t^{-1} + (n-2), \\ S(v) &= \sum_{j+|\alpha|\le 2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v + \bar{C}(t,\omega)(\beta(\phi')^2 + \beta\phi'')v + e^t b_i\omega_i\beta\phi' v, \\ R(v) &= \sum_{j+|\alpha|\le 1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\beta\phi'\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v + e^t b_i\omega_i\partial_t v + e^t b_i\Omega_i v, \\ C_{j\alpha} &= O(e^t),\ \ \partial_t C_{j\alpha} = O(e^t),\ \ \Omega^\alpha C_{j\alpha} = O(e^t), \\ \bar{C} &= O(e^t),\ \ \partial_t\bar{C} = O(e^t),\ \ \Omega^\alpha\bar{C} = O(e^t). \end{cases}$$

In order to show (2.6) for t close to $-\infty$, we only need to prove the following

$$\begin{aligned} C\int |e^{2t}P_\beta v + e^{2t}Q_\beta v + e^{2t}qv|^2\,dtd\omega \ \ge\ & \beta\int t^{-2}|\partial_t v|^2\,dtd\omega + \beta\sum_j\int t^{-2}|\Omega_j v|^2\,dtd\omega \\ +\ & \beta^3\int t^{-2}v^2\,dtd\omega. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\|L_\beta v\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = \|\partial_t^2 v\ &+\ \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v + (2\beta\phi' + e^t b_i\omega_i)\partial_t v + e^t b_i\Omega_i v \\ &+\ (\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q)v\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} = \|\beta\phi'' v\ &+\ (n-2)\partial_t v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|\le 1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v \\ &+\ \sum_{j+|\alpha|\le 1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\beta\phi'\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v + \bar{C}(t,\omega)(\beta(\phi')^2 + \beta\phi'')v\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We first study $\mathcal{A}$. While $\mathcal{B}$ will be incorporated into the leading term in $\mathcal{A}$. We decompose $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1 = \|\partial_t^2 v &+ \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j \Omega^\alpha v \\ &+ (\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q)v\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \|(2\beta\phi' + e^t b_i\omega_i)\partial_t v + e^t b_i \Omega_i v\|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_3 = 2 < \partial_t^2 v + \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v(\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q)v \\ (2\beta\phi' + e^t b_i\omega_i)\partial_t v + e^t b_i\Omega_i v > .\end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate $\mathcal{A}_1$. Since $|\phi''| \le 1$ as t is negatively large,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \ge \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{\beta}\mathcal{A}_1' \tag{2.11}$$

with $0 < \tilde{\epsilon} < 1$ to be determined, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1' = \|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\Big(\partial_t^2 v &+ \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v \\ &+ (\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q)v\Big)\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We split $\mathcal{A}_1'$ into three parts.

$$\mathcal{A}_1' = \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2 + \mathcal{J}_3,$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\big(\partial_t^2 v + \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v\big)\|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_2 = \|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q\big)v\|^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_3 = 2 < \partial_t^2 v &+ \triangle_\omega v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|=2} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v \\ &|\phi''|\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2 + (n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q\big)v > .\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{J}_1$ is nonnegative. Since ϕ' is close to 1 as $t \to -\infty$, by the triangle inequality,

$$\mathcal{J}_2 \ge \beta^4\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 - \|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\big((n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q\big)v\|^2.$$

Since we have chose that

$$\beta > C_1(1 + \|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|q\|_{W^{1,\infty}}^{1/2}),$$

by Young's inequality,

$$\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\big((n-2)\beta\phi' + e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i + e^{2t}q\big)v\|^2 \le \epsilon\beta^4\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 + C_\epsilon\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2.$$

Choosing ϵ appropriately small, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_2 &\ge C\beta^4\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 - C\beta^2\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 \\ &\ge C\beta^4\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We find a lower bound for $\mathcal{J}_3$. Using integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_3 &= -2\int |\phi''|\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2+(n-2)\beta\phi'+e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i+e^{2t}q\big)|\partial_t v|^2\\
&\quad -2\int \partial_t\Big(|\phi''|\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2+(n-2)\beta\phi'+e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i+e^{2t}q\big)\Big)v\partial_t v\\
&\quad -2\sum_{j=1}^n\int |\phi''|\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2+(n-2)\beta\phi'+e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i+e^{2t}q\big)|\Omega_j v|^2\\
&\quad -2\int |\phi''|\Omega_j\big(\beta^2(\phi')^2+(n-2)\beta\phi'+e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i+e^{2t}q\big)\Omega_j v v\\
&\quad -2\int \sum_{j+|\alpha|=1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v\sum_{j+|\alpha|=1}\Big(\big(|\phi''|\beta^2(\phi')^2+(n-2)\beta\phi'+e^t\beta\phi' b_i\omega_i+e^{2t}q\big)v\Big)\\
&\quad \geq -C\beta^2\int |\phi''|(|\partial_t v|^2+\sum_{j=1}^n|\Omega_j v|^2+|v|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining above estimates for $\mathcal{J}_2$, $\mathcal{J}_3$ and (2.11) together, it follows that

$$\mathcal{A}_1\geq C\beta^3\tilde{\epsilon}\int|\phi''|v^2-C\beta\tilde{\epsilon}\int|\phi''|(|\partial_t v|^2+\sum_{j=1}^n|\Omega_j v|^2+|v|^2). \tag{2.14}$$

Now we compute $\mathcal{A}_2$. Obviously,

$$\mathcal{A}_2\geq\frac{1}{\beta}\mathcal{A}_2.$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\mathcal{A}_2\geq 4\beta^2\|\phi'\partial_t v||^2-\|e^t b_i\omega_i\partial_t v+e^t b_i\Omega_i v\|^2.$$

Application of Young's inequality yields

$$\|e^t b_i\omega_i\partial_t v+e^t b_i\Omega_i v\|^2\leq\epsilon\beta^2\|e^t\partial_t\|^2+C_\epsilon\sum_{j=1}^n\beta^2\|e^t\Omega_j v\|^2.$$

Note that $1+\frac{2}{T_0}\leq\phi'\leq 1$ as $-\infty\leq t<0$, which is close to 1 as T_0 as negatively large. It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}_2\geq C\beta\|\partial_t v\|^2-C\beta\sum_{j=1}^n\|e^t\Omega_j v\|^2. \tag{2.15}$$

The calculation of $\mathcal{A}_3$ is lengthy. Using integration by parts and the fact that

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty}-\frac{\phi''}{e^t}=\infty,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_3\geq C\beta\sum_{j=1}^n\int|\phi''||\Omega_j v|^2 &- C\beta^3\int|\phi''|e^t v^2-C\beta\int|\phi''||\partial_t v|^2\\
&- C\beta^3\int e^t|v|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_3$. Together with the estimates (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), by choosing $\tilde{\epsilon}$ appropriately small, we can derive that

$$\mathcal{A} \geq C\beta\|\partial_t v\|^2 + C\beta^3\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v|^2 + C\beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}|\Omega_j v\|^2. \tag{2.17}$$

Finally we estimate $\mathcal{B}$. By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B} = \|\beta\phi'' v \;\; &+ \;\; (n-2)\partial_t v + \sum_{j+|\alpha|\leq 1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v \\ &+ \sum_{j+|\alpha|\leq 1} C_{j\alpha}(t,\omega)\beta\phi'\partial_t^j\Omega^\alpha v + \bar{C}(t,\omega)(\beta(\phi')^2 + \beta\phi'')v\|^2 \\ &\leq C\big(\beta^2\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 + \|\partial_t v\|^2 + \|e^t\partial_t v\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\|e^t\Omega_j u\|^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We can check that each term in $\mathcal{B}$ can be incorporated in the right hand side of (2.17). Therefore,

$$\|L_\beta v\|^2 \geq C\beta\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\partial_t v\|^2 + C\beta^3\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 + C\beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\Omega_j v\|^2. \tag{2.19}$$

We are done with the proof of Proposition 1. □

The proof the corollary is inspired by the idea in [**BC**].

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. The application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int \partial_t(v^2)e^{-t} \;\; &= \;\; 2\int v\partial_t e^{-t} \\ &\leq \;\; 2\big(\int(\partial_t v)^2 e^{-t}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big(\int v)^2 e^{-t}\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts,

$$\int \partial_t(v^2)e^{-t} = \int v^2 e^{-t}. \tag{2.21}$$

Hence

$$\int v^2 e^{-t} \leq C\int(\partial_t v)^2 e^{-t}.$$

Since u is supported in $\{x| \;\; |x - x_0| \geq \tilde{\delta}\}$,

$$C\tilde{\delta}\int v^2 e^{-t} \leq \int(\partial_t v)^2. \tag{2.22}$$

Applying above estimates to (2.17), we know an another refined lower bound for $\mathcal{A}$, that is,

$$C\mathcal{A} \geq \tilde{\delta}\beta\int v^2 e^{-t}.$$

Carrying out the similar proof as Proposition 1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_\beta v\|^2 \geq C\beta\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\partial_t v\|^2 \quad &+ \quad C\beta^3\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}v\|^2 + C\beta\sum_{j=1}^{n}\|\sqrt{|\phi''|}\Omega_j v\|^2 \\ &+ \quad \tilde{\delta}\beta\|ve^{-t/2}\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

which implies the corollary. □

3. Doubling estimates on solid balls

Following from the similar argument in [**BC**], we have the following three-ball theorem. Its argument is also similar to the proof of Proposition 2 below.

Lemma 1. *There exist constants r_0, C and $0 < \gamma < 1$ depending only on Ω such that for any solutions of (2.5), $0 < R < r_0 < \delta$, and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_R(x_0)} \hat{u}^2 \leq e^{C(1+\|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}}+\|q\|^{1/2}_{W^{1,\infty}})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2R}(x_0)} \hat{u}^2\Big)^{1-\gamma}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{R/2}(x_0)} \hat{u}^2\Big)^{\gamma}. \tag{3.1}$$

In the following, we want to derive estimates that controls L^2 norm of $\hat{u}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}$ in terms of L^2 norm of $\hat{u}$ in a small ball centered at $\partial\Omega$. In the presentation, sometimes we drop the center of $\mathbb{B}_r$ if there is no misunderstanding. By the lemma 3.7 in [**BL**], for any $0 < r < \delta$, there exists a point $x_\star \in \partial\Omega$ such that

$$\int_\Omega u^2 \leq Cr^{-2n+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_\star)\cap\Omega} u^2 \tag{3.2}$$

for some C depending only on Ω. Recall that $\hat{u} = e^{\lambda d(x)}u$. Obviously

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_\star)\cap\Omega} u^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_\star)\cap\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus,

$$\int_\Omega u^2 \leq Cr^{-2n+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_\star)\cap\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.4}$$

We can also check that

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} \hat{u}^2(x) = \int_{\Omega'_\delta} \hat{u}^2(x')|\nabla\Psi(x')|, \tag{3.5}$$

where $|\nabla\Psi(x')| > C$ in Ω'_δ with C depending only on Ω, that is,

$$\int_{\Omega_\delta} \hat{u}^2(x) \geq C\int_{\Omega'_\delta} \hat{u}^2(x'). \tag{3.6}$$

Since

$$\int_\Omega u^2 \geq e^{-2\lambda\delta}\int_{\Omega_\delta} \hat{u}^2, \tag{3.7}$$

it follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2 \leq Ce^{2\lambda\delta}r^{-2n+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_\star)\cap\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.8}$$

LEMMA 2. *For any $0 < r < r_0 < \delta$, there exists C_r such that for any $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, the following holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_0)} \hat{u}^2 \geq e^{-C_r\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.9}$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may denote $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2 = 1$. Let $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$ be the point where $\|\hat{u}\|_{\mathbb{B}_r(\bar{x})} = \sup_{x\in\partial\Omega} \|\hat{u}\|_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)}$. From (3.8),

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{\mathbb{B}_r(\bar{x})} \geq e^{-2\lambda\delta} C_r \tag{3.10}$$

with C_r depending on Ω and r. Applying the three-ball theorem (i.e. Lemma 1) at any $x \in \partial\Omega$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}\|_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}(x)} &\geq e^{-C(1+\|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}}+\|q\|^{1/2}_{W^{1,\infty}})} \|\hat{u}\|^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)} \\ &\geq e^{-C\lambda} \|\hat{u}\|^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where we have the assumptions for b and q in (2.4).

Define a sequence of point x_0, x_1, $\cdots$, $x_m = \bar{x}$ on $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\mathbb{B}_{r/2}(x_{i+1}) \subset \mathbb{B}_r(x_i) \quad \text{for } i = 0, \cdots, m-1.$$

We can see that the number m depends on Ω and r. From (3.11), we have

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}(x_i)} \geq e^{-C\lambda} \|\hat{u}\|^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}_{\mathbb{B}_{r/2}(x_{i+1})}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, m-1$. By iteration and (3.10), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_0)} \hat{u}^2 \geq e^{-C_r\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.12}$$

We are done with Lemma 2. □

Let

$$A_{r,\,2r} = \{x \in \tilde{\Omega} | r \leq |x - x_0| \leq 2r, \text{ with } x_0 \in \partial\Omega\}.$$

We can find that there exits some $x_i \in A_{r,\,2r} \cap \partial\Omega$ such that $\mathbb{B}_{r/3}(x_i) \subset A_{r,\,2r}$. It yields that

$$\int_{A_{r,2r}} \hat{u}^2 \geq e^{-C_r\lambda} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{3.13}$$

We are ready to derive the doubling estimates for $\hat{u}$ on solid balls on $\tilde{\Omega}$.

PROPOSITION 2. *There exist positive constants r_0, C depending only on Ω such that for any solution $\hat{u}$ in (2.3),*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x_0)} |\hat{u}|^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_0)} |\hat{u}|^2 \tag{3.14}$$

for any $r < r_0$ and $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$.

PROOF. Let $R = \frac{r_0}{6}$, where r_0 is the one in the three-ball theorem. Let $0 < 4\rho < \frac{r_0}{18}$. Select a small cut-off function with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ satisfying the following properties

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad r(x) < \rho \text{ and } r(x) > R, \\ \psi(x) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{3\rho}{2} < r(x) < \frac{R}{3}, \\ |\nabla\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\rho}, \text{ and } |\nabla^2\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{\rho^2} \text{ if } \rho < r(x) < \frac{3\rho}{2}, \\ |\nabla\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{R}, \text{ and } |\nabla^2\psi(x)| \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \text{ if } \frac{R}{3} < r(x) < R. \end{cases}$$

Now we apply the Carleman estimates in (2.7) with $\psi\hat{u}$. Dropping the last term in the right hand side of (2.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\rho \int e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}|\psi\hat{u}|^2 r^{-n-1} \quad &+ \quad \beta^3 \int (\log r)^{-2} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}|\psi\hat{u}|^2 r^{-n} \\ &\leq C \int e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}} r^{4-n} \big(a_{ij}D_{ij}(\psi\hat{u}) + b_i D_i(\psi\hat{u}) + q\hat{u}\big)^2 \\ &\leq C \int e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}} r^{4-n} \big(a_{ij}D_{ij}\psi\hat{u} + 2a_{ij}D_i\hat{u}D_j\psi + b_i D_i\psi\hat{u}\big)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

By the properties of ψ and the fact that R depending only on Ω and $\beta > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{\frac{3\rho}{2},4\rho} \cup A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}\hat{u}^2 r^{-n} \quad &\leq \quad C(1+\lambda)^2 \int_{A_{\rho,\frac{3\rho}{2}} \cup A_{\frac{R}{3},R}} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}\hat{u}^2 r^{-n} \\ &+ \quad C(\rho^2 \int_{A_{\rho,\frac{3\rho}{2}}} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}|\nabla\hat{u}|^2 r^{-n} + R^2 \int_{A_{\frac{R}{3},R}} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}}|\nabla\hat{u}|^2 r^{-n}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where the assumption in (2.4) has been used. Recall the following elliptic estimates for $\hat{u}$ in (2.3),

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{B}_{ar}} \leq C(\frac{1}{(1-a)r} + \|b\|_{L^\infty} + \|q\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2})\|u\|_{\mathbb{B}_r}, \quad \text{for } 0 < a < 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Applying elliptic estimates to the last two terms in the right hand side of (3.16) and the decreasing property of $-\tilde{\phi}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(4\rho)}\rho^{-n} \int_{A_{\frac{3\rho}{2},4\rho}} |\hat{u}|^2 + e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}R^{-n} \int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}} |\hat{u}|^2 \quad &\leq \quad C(1+\lambda)^4 \big(e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\rho)}\rho^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}} |\hat{u}|^2 \\ &+ \quad e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{3})}R^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\hat{u}|^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Adding $e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(4\rho)}\rho^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3\rho}{2}}} |\hat{u}|^2$ to both sides of (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(4\rho)}\rho^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{4\rho}} |\hat{u}|^2 + e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}R^{-n} \int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}} |\hat{u}|^2 \quad &\leq \quad C(1+\lambda)^4 \big(e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\rho)}\rho^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}} |\hat{u}|^2 \\ &+ \quad e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{3})}R^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3R}{2}}} |\hat{u}|^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

We want to absorb the last term in the right hand side of (3.19) into the left hand side. Let β satisfy that

$$C(1+\lambda)^4 e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{3})}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3R}{2}}}|\hat{u}|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

In order to make it true, we only need to select β to be

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2(\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})-\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{3}))}\ln\frac{\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2}{2C(1+\lambda)^4\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3R}{2}}}|\hat{u}|^2} + C_1(1+\|b\|_{W^{1,\infty}}+\|q\|^{1/2}_{W^{1,\infty}}). \tag{3.20}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(4\rho)}\rho^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{4\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2 \quad &+ \quad \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}R^{-n}\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2 \\ &\le \quad C(1+\lambda)^4 e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\rho)}\rho^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Dropping the second term in the left hand side leads to

$$e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(4\rho)}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{4\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2 \le C(1+\lambda)^4 e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\rho)}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $D_R = (\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})-\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{3}))^{-1}$. We know that $0 < A^{-1} < -D_R < A$ where A does not depend on R. Note that $0 < \tilde{\phi}(4\rho)-\tilde{\phi}(\rho) < C$. It follows from (3.20) and (3.22) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{4\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2 \le e^{C\lambda}\Big(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{3R}{2}}}|\hat{u}|^2}{\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2}\Big)^{A^{-1}}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2. \tag{3.23}$$

From (3.13), we arrive at

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{4\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2 \le e^{C\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2\rho}}|\hat{u}|^2. \tag{3.24}$$

This completes the proof of proposition 2. □

4. Doubling estimates and vanishing order on the boundary

In the above section, the doubling property for $\hat{u}$ on solid balls is given. Next we obtain a doubling inequality on the boundary of Ω. We do a scaling for $\hat{u}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r_0}{\lambda}}(x_0)$. Let

$$\bar{u}(x) = \hat{u}(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda})$$

for $x \in \mathbb{B}_{r_0}$. From (2.3), $\bar{u}$ satisfies

$$div(\bar{A}\nabla\bar{u}) + \bar{b}\cdot\nabla\bar{u} + \bar{q}\bar{u} = 0 \quad a.e. \text{ in } \mathbb{B}_{r_0} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{A}(x) = A(x_0+\frac{x}{\lambda}), \\ \bar{b}(x) = \lambda^{-1}b(x_0+\frac{x}{\lambda}), \\ \bar{q}(x) = \lambda^{-2}q(x_0+\frac{x}{\lambda}). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

We can also check that
(i) there exists some positive constant ϱ such that

$$\varrho|\xi|^2 \leq \sum \bar{a}_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j$$

where $\bar{A}(x) = \left(\bar{a}_{ij}(x)\right)_{i,j=1}^n$.
(ii) There exists constant K_1 such that

$$|\bar{a}_{ij}(x) - \bar{a}_{ij}(y)| \leq K_1|x-y|.$$

(iii) There exists constant K_2 such that

$$\sum_{i,j} \|\bar{a}_{i,j}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1)} + \sum_i \|\bar{b}_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1)} + \|q\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_1)} \leq K_2.$$

Based on the doubling estimates on $\tilde{\Omega}$, we can prove the doubling estimates on $\partial\Omega$. We follow the idea in [**BL**]. The following lemma obtained in [**Lin**] is the connection between the L^2 norm of solutions on the boundary and solid balls. For completeness of the presentation, we state the lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Assume that u is the solution of (4.1) in $\mathbb{B}_1^+$ with coefficient satisfying (i)-(iii) and $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_1^+)} \leq 1$. Suppose that*

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} + \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \epsilon << 1, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Gamma = \{(x',0) \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x'| \leq 2/3\}$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^+_{1/2})} \leq C\epsilon^\alpha$$

for the constants C, α depending on ϱ, K_1, K_2 and n.

The following J.L. Lions-type lemma is shown in [**BL**].

LEMMA 4. *Let $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the trace of u on $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n = 0\} = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be denoted by u. Then there exist a constant C depending on n such that for any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq \epsilon \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{C}{\epsilon^2}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

Now we are ready to show the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since $u = \hat{u}$ on $\partial\Omega$, in order to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x)\cap\partial\Omega} u^2$$

for $r \leq \frac{r_0}{\lambda}$ and $x \in \partial\Omega$, by letting $x = x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}\cap\partial\hat{\Omega}} \bar{u}^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r\cap\partial\hat{\Omega}} \bar{u}^2 \tag{4.4}$$

for $r \leq r_0$ with $\hat{\Omega} = \{x | x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda} \in \Omega\}$ and $\mathbb{B}_r$ centered at origin. From Proposition 2, there exist r_0, C depending on Ω and n such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}(x_0)} \hat{u}^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r(x_0)} \hat{u}^2$$

for $r \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$. It is true that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}} \bar{u}^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r} \bar{u}^2. \tag{4.5}$$

Next we want to flatten the hypersurface $\partial\Omega$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, we can regard $\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r_0}{\lambda}(x_0)} \cap \partial\Omega$ as a smooth function with C^2 norm bounded by a constant C_0 independent of x_0 and λ. After rescaling, we assume that

$$\mathbb{B}_{r_0} \cap \partial\Omega_{x_0,\lambda} = \{(x',\, x_n) \in \mathbb{B}_{r_0} | x' \in \mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r_0},\ x_n = \Phi(x')\},$$

where $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r_0})$, $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\nabla\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\|\Phi\|_{C^2} \leq C_0$. Furthermore, $\|\Phi\|_{C^1(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r_0})} \leq \epsilon$ with ϵ small. Introducing the injective map $F : \mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r_0} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$F(x',\, x_n) = (x',\, x_n + \Phi(x')).$$

We can check that

$$\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}} \subset F(\mathbb{B}_r) \subset \mathbb{B}_{(1+\epsilon)r},$$

$$F(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{\frac{r}{1+\epsilon}} \times \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{B}_r \cap \partial\Omega_{x_0,\,\lambda} \subset F(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{(1+\epsilon)r} \times \{0\}).$$

If we show that

$$\int_{F(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2r} \times \{0\})} \bar{u}^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{F(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r} \times \{0\})} \bar{u}^2, \tag{4.6}$$

then it implies (4.4). We define

$$w(x) = \bar{u}(F(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{B}_{\frac{r_0}{1+\epsilon}}.$$

To prove (4.6), by the area formula, it is enough to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2r} \times \{0\}} w^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{r} \times \{0\}} w^2 \tag{4.7}$$

for $0 < r < \frac{r_0}{2(1+\epsilon)}$, where r_0 depends only on Ω. By the same argument, it follows from (4.5) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}} w^2 \leq e^{C\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{B}_r} w^2 \tag{4.8}$$

for $0 < r < \frac{r_0}{2(1+\epsilon)}$. We can check that w solve

$$div(\tilde{A}\nabla w) + \tilde{b}\nabla w + \tilde{q} w = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

where $\tilde{A}$, $\tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{q}$ satisfy (i)-(iii) with similar bounds independent of λ. We fixed $r < \frac{r_0}{2(1+\epsilon)}$ so that the condition (4.8) holds. We do a rescaling to consider fixed radius. Let

$$\bar{w}(x) = \tilde{C} w(rx) \tag{4.10}$$

where the constant $\tilde{C}$ is chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_2} \bar{w}(x) = 1. \tag{4.11}$$

By the doubling estimates in (4.8), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_{1/2}} \bar{w} \geq e^{-C\lambda}. \tag{4.12}$$

We can see that $\bar{w}$ also satisfies (4.9). If

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} + \|\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} = \tilde{\epsilon} << 1,$$

by Lemma 3 and (4.11), we have

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_{1/2})} \leq C\tilde{\epsilon}^{\alpha}.$$

Due to the lower bound (4.12), we obtain

$$\tilde{\epsilon} > e^{\frac{-C\lambda}{\alpha}} C^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}},$$

that is,

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} + \|\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} > e^{-C\lambda}.$$

Since the normal derivative of $\bar{v}$ vanishes on $\partial\Omega_{x_0,\lambda}$, using area formula,

$$\|\nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} \geq \frac{1}{C}\|\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial x_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} \geq e^{-C\lambda}. \tag{4.13}$$

We claim that the estimate

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} \geq \bar{\epsilon}$$

implies that

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6})} \geq \bar{\epsilon}^3/C.$$

Introduce a cut-off function $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on $\mathbb{B}_{2/3}$ and $\psi = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \mathbb{B}_{5/6}$. Consider $\bar{w}' = \bar{w} \cdot \psi$, we obtain for $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} &\leq \|\nabla \bar{w}'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq \eta \|\bar{w}'\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \frac{C}{\eta^2}\|\bar{w}'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq C\eta + \frac{C}{\eta^2}\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6})} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the interior elliptic estimates and Lemma 4. Let $\eta = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{2C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\epsilon} - \|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} &\leq \|\nabla \bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{2/3})} \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{2} + \frac{C}{\bar{\epsilon}^2}\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6})}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the claim follows. So (4.13) implies that

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6})} \geq e^{-C\lambda}. \tag{4.14}$$

Thanks to the trace theorem and interior elliptic estimates,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/3})} &\leq C\|\bar{w}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{B}_{5/3})} \\ &\leq C\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_2)} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6})} \geq e^{-C\lambda}\|\bar{w}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/3})},$$

that is,

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5r/6})} \geq e^{-C\lambda}\|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5r/3})}$$

for $r \leq \frac{r_0}{2(1+\epsilon)}$. Now we fix ϵ. For instance, let $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{10}$. From (4.7), we arrive at Theorem 1. □

Thanks to the argument in proving Theorem 1, we present the proof of corollary 1.

Proof of Corollary 1. We consider any point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. For convenience, we may assume x_0 to be origin. On one hand, by (4.10) and (4.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tilde{C}} = \int_{\mathbb{B}_2} w^2(rx) &= \frac{1}{r^n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{2r}} \bar{u}(F(x)) \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda^n}{r^n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{2r}{(1+\epsilon)\lambda}}} \hat{u}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{-C\lambda}}{\tilde{C}} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{C}}\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5/6}} \bar{w} &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n-1}_{5r/6}} \bar{u}(F(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{5(1+\epsilon)r/6\lambda}\cap\partial\Omega} \hat{u}^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{r^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{5(1+\epsilon)r/6\lambda}\cap\partial\Omega} u^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

By taking ϵ appropriately small, we have

$$e^{C\lambda}\frac{r}{\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega} u^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{5r}{3\lambda}}} \hat{u}^2. \tag{4.17}$$

Applying the elliptic estimates [**GT**] (Theorem 8.17) for (2.3),

$$e^{C\sqrt{\lambda}}r^{\frac{-n}{2}}\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{5r}{3}})} \geq \|\hat{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3}})}. \tag{4.18}$$

Since

$$\|\hat{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3}})} \geq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3}}\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.19}$$

We conclude from (4.18) and (4.19) that

$$e^{C\sqrt{\lambda}}(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{\frac{-n}{2}}\|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{5r}{3\lambda}})} \geq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.20}$$

Taking (4.17) into consideration yields that

$$e^{C\lambda}(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{\frac{-n+1}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.21}$$

Using Hölder's inequality,

$$e^{C\lambda}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{4r}{3\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.22}$$

By iteration, we arrive at

$$e^{C\lambda}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \geq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{2r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)}. \tag{4.23}$$

□

With the help of the Carleman estimates and the analysis above, we show Theorem 2 as below.

Proof of Theorem 2. As before, we assume any point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ as origin. Dropping the first term in the left hand side of (3.21) gives that

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}R^{-n}\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2 \leq C(1+\lambda)^4 e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(r/2)}(\frac{r}{2})^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r}|\hat{u}|^2 \tag{4.24}$$

for $0 < r < \frac{r_0}{36}$. Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\beta\tilde{\phi}(\frac{R}{6})}R^{-n}\int_{A_{\frac{R}{12},\frac{R}{6}}}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

Then

$$(1+\lambda)^{-4}e^{2\beta(\log\frac{r}{2}+\log(\log\frac{r}{2})^2)}\mathcal{R} \leq r^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

Since $\log\big((\log\frac{r}{2})^2\big)/2 > 0$ as r is small, we have

$$C(1+\lambda)^{-4}r^{2\beta}\mathcal{R} \leq r^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

From (3.13) and (3.20), we also know that

$$\beta \leq C(1+\lambda) \leq C\lambda.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$Ce^{-C\lambda}r^{C\lambda}\mathcal{R} \leq r^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_r}|\hat{u}|^2.$$

Since $\lambda > 1$, it is also true that

$$e^{-C\lambda}(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{C\lambda}\mathcal{R} \leq (\frac{r}{\lambda})^{-n}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}}|\hat{u}|^2. \tag{4.25}$$

From (4.17), we obtain

$$(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{-n+1}\int_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega}u^2 \geq \mathcal{R}e^{-C\lambda}(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{C\lambda}. \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_{\frac{r}{\lambda}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \geq \mathcal{R}e^{-C\lambda}(\frac{r}{\lambda})^{C\lambda}$$

which implies the conclusion of Theorem 2. □

Remark: After having completed the paper, we learn from arXiv that A. R*ü*land considered some similar problems for fractional Schr*ö*dinger equations [**R**] using a different method.

5. Acknowledgement

The author is indebted to Professor C.D. Sogge for guiding me into the area of eigenfunctions and constant support.

References

[BC] L. Bakri and J.B. Casteras, Quantitative uniqueness for Schrödinger operator with regular potentials, to appear in Mathematical Methods in Applied Science.

[BL] K. Bellova and F.-H. Lin, Nodal sets of Steklov eigenfunctions, arXiv:1402.4323.

[BS] S. Bergmann and M. Schiffer, Kernel Function and Elliptic Differential Equations in Mathematical Physics. Academic, New York, 1953.

[BRS] A. Bermúdez, R. Rodríguez and D. Santamarina, A finite element solution of an added mass formulation for coupled fluid-solid vibrations. Numer. Math. 87(2000), 201-227.

[C] A.P. Calderón, On a inverse boundary value problem, in "Seminar in Numerical Analysis and Its Applications to Continuum Physics", 65-73, Soc. Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro, 1980.

[CPV] C. Conca, J. Planchard and M. Vanninathan, Fluid and Periodic Structures. Wiley, New York, 1995.

[DF] H. Donnelly and C. Fefferman, Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds, Invent. Math. 93(1988), 161-183.

[GL] N. Garofalo and F.-H. Lin, Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A_p weights and unique continuation, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 35(1986), 245-268.

[GT] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[JK] D. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, Unique continuation and absence of positive eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators. With an appendix by E. M. Stein. Ann. of Math. 121(1985), no. 3. 463-494.

[KK] N.D. Kopachevsky and S.G. Krein, Operator approach to linear problems of hydrodynamics. Vol.1, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 128, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

[KT] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Carleman estimates and unique continuation for second-order elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54(2001), 339-360.

[Lin] F.-H. Lin, Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic equations of elliptic and parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl Math. 44(1991), 287-308.

[LT] G. Lu and T. Wolff, Unique continuation with weak type lower order terms, Potential Anal. 7(997), no. 2, 603-614.

[LW] C.L. Lin and J.N. Wang, Quantitative uniqueness estimates for the general second order elliptic equations, J. Funct. Anal. 266(2014), no. 8, 5108-5125.

[R] A. Rüland, On some quantitative unique continuation properties of fractionlal Schrödinger equations: doubling, vanishing order and nodal domain estimates. arXiv:1407.0817v1

[S] C.D. Sogge, Strong uniqueness theorems for second order elliptic differential equations, Amer. J. Math. 112(1990) 943-984.

[St] W. Stekloff, Sur les problèmes fondamenbetax de la physique mathematique, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 19(1902), 191-259.

[Z] S. Zelditch, Measure of nodal sets of analytic steklov eigenfunctions, arXiv:1403.0647.

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA,
Emails: jzhu43@math.jhu.edu